IT-95-5/18-T D64043 - D64041 29 May 2012 64043

TR



International Tribunal for the Prosecution of Persons Responsible for Serious Violations of International Humanitarian Law Committed in the Territory of the former Yugoslavia since 1991

Case No.: IT-95-5/18-T

Date: 29 May 2012

Original: English

IN THE TRIAL CHAMBER

Before: Judge O-Gon Kwon, Presiding Judge

Judge Howard Morrison Judge Melville Baird

Judge Flavia Lattanzi, Reserve Judge

Registrar: Mr. John Hocking

Decision of: 29 May 2012

PROSECUTOR

v.

RADOVAN KARADŽIĆ

PUBLIC

DECISION ON REQUEST FOR AUTHORISATION TO DISCLOSE COLONEL RUTTEN'S NOTES IN RELATED CASES

Office of the Prosecutor

Mr. Alan Tieger

Ms. Hildegard Uertz-Retzlaff

The Accused Standby Counsel

Mr. Radovan Karadžić Mr. Richard Harvey

THIS TRIAL CHAMBER of the International Tribunal for the Prosecution of Persons Responsible for Serious Violations of International Humanitarian Law Committed in the Territory of the former Yugoslavia since 1991 ("Tribunal"),

BEING SEISED of the "Prosecution Request for Authorization to Disclose Col. Rutten's Notes in Related Cases", filed confidentially on 3 May 2012 ("Request"), in which the Office of the Prosecutor ("Prosecution") requests the Chamber's authorisation to disclose the notes of Colonel Johannes Rutten ("Witness") in other ongoing proceedings at the Tribunal;¹

RECALLING that the Witness testified before the Chamber on 28 November 2011 about events he witnessed in the Srebrenica enclave during his service as a member of Dutch Battalion III between January and July 1995;²

RECALLING that, during the course of his testimony in these proceedings, the Witness indicated, *inter alia*, that he kept "a small book with [...] notes" regarding events in Srebrenica ("Notes") and that he had reviewed the Notes prior to his testimony in each case before the Tribunal, including these proceedings, in order to prepare for his testimony and refresh his memory;³

RECALLING that, on 30 November 2011, the Accused filed a "Motion for Order for Production of Colonel Rutten's Notes", and, in response to two letters from the Chamber requesting that the Witness voluntarily provide a copy of the Notes, the Witness did so on 9 March 2012;

NOTING that, in acknowledging receipt of the Notes, the Chamber instructed the parties that the Notes not be distributed "any further than strictly required by the case";⁴

NOTING further that, in the Request, the Prosecution notes that it has received the Witness's consent, through the Ministry of Defence of the Netherlands, to the disclosure of the Notes in the *Mladić* case, the *Tolimir* case, and the *Popović et al.* case;⁵

_

¹ Request, paras. 1, 4, 6, referring to the cases of *Prosecutor v. Mladić*, Case No. IT-9-92-PT ("*Mladić* case"), *Prosecutor v. Tolimir*, Case No. IT-05-88/2-T ("*Tolimir* Case"), *Prosecutor v. Popović et al.*, Case No. IT-05-88-A ("*Popović et al.*, case").

² T. 21979–22052 (28 November 2011).

³ T. 22000–22002 (28 November 2011).

⁴ T. 26096 (12 March 2012).

64041

NOTING that the Accused does not oppose the Request but asks that the Request and Addendum be reclassified as public;⁶

CONSIDERING that the Notes are relevant to the indictments in the *Mladić* case, the *Tolimir* case, and the *Popović et al.* case;

CONSIDERING further that nothing in the Request or the Addendum warrants that they remain confidential;

FOR THE FOREGOING REASONS,

PURSUANT TO Rule 54 the Tribunal's Rules of Procedure and Evidence,

GRANTS the Request and authorises the Prosecution's disclosure of Colonel Rutten's notes, as relevant and necessary to meet its obligations under the Rules in the *Mladić* case, the *Tolimir* case, and the *Popović et al.* case; and

ORDERS the Registry to reclassify the Request and the Addendum as public.

Done in English and French, the English text being authoritative.

Judge O-Gon Kwon Presiding

Dated this twenty-ninth day of May 2012 At The Hague The Netherlands

[Seal of the Tribunal]

⁵ See also Addendum to Prosecution Request for Authorization to Disclose Col. Rutten's Notes in Related Cases with Confidential Appendix, 7 May 2012 ("Addendum"), wherein the Prosecution provides the actual email correspondence from the Dutch Ministry of Defence.

⁶ T. 28564 (4 May 2012).