

International Tribunal for the Prosecution of Persons Responsible for Serious Violations

of International Humanitarian Law Committed in the Territory of the former Yugoslavia since 1991

Case No.:

IT-05-87-PT

Date:

9 June 2006

Original:

English

IN TRIAL CHAMBER III

Before:

Judge Iain Bonomy, Presiding

Judge Krister Thelin Judge Frank Höpfel

Registrar:

Mr. Hans Holthuis

Decision of:

9 June 2006

PROSECUTOR

v.

MILAN MILUTINOVIĆ NIKOLA ŠAINOVIĆ DRAGOLJUB OJDANIĆ NEBOJŠA PAVKOVIĆ VLADIMIR LAZAREVIĆ VLASTIMIR ĐORĐEVIĆ SRETEN LUKIĆ

DECISION ON OJDANIĆ MOTION FOR STAY OF PROCEEDINGS

Mission in Kosovo

Office of the Prosecutor

Mr. Thomas Hannis

Mr. Chester Stamp

Ms. Christina Moeller

Ms. Patricia Fikirini

Mr. Mathias Marcussen

United Nations Interim Administration

Counsel for the Accused

Mr. Eugene O'Sullivan and Mr. Slobodan Zečević for Mr. Milan Milutinović

Mr. Toma Fila and Mr. Vladimir Petrović for Mr. Nikola Šainović

Mr. Tomislav Višnjić and Mr. Norman Sepenuk for Mr. Dragoljub Ojdanić

Mr. John Ackerman and Mr. Aleksander Alekšić for Mr. Nebojša Pavković

Mr. Mihajlo Bakrać for Mr. Vladimir Lazarević

Mr. Branko Lukić and Mr. Dragan Ivetić for Mr. Sreten Lukić

THIS TRIAL CHAMBER of the International Tribunal for the Prosecution of Persons Responsible for Serious Violations of International Humanitarian Law Committed in the Territory of the former Yugoslavia since 1991 ("Tribunal") is seised of a "General Ojdanić's Motion for Stay of Proceedings", filed 1 June 2006 ("Motion"), and hereby renders its decision thereon.

- 1. In the Motion, Ojdanić requests a stay of the proceedings and explains his Defence team's efforts to investigate in Kosovo in order to prepare for the trial, including the most recent trip during which the Defence team and United Nations Interim Administration Mission in Kosovo ("UNMIK") personnel were attacked.\(^1\) Ojdanić argues that his inability to conduct such investigations "violates his right to a fair trial, his right to equality of arms with the prosecution, his right to adequate facilities for the preparation of his defence, and his right to effective assistance of counsel".\(^2\) Milutinović, Pavković, Šainović, and Lazarević join the Motion.\(^3\)
- 2. Following the attack on the Defence team, Mr. Steven Schook, Principal Deputy Special Representative of the Secretary-General, informed Mr. Tomislav Višnjić, Lead Counsel of the Ojdanić Defence team, of the following:

I am certain that you will understand that due to security concerns and the emotional impact of last week events UNMIK will need to be provided with all the necessary information in order to be able to make sound decisions and to ensure the smooth conduct of any similar visits in the future.

Thus, I would ask you to furnish us with the following details:

- 1. The purpose of the visit;
- 2. A detailed itinerary for your planned visit;
- 3. Details of all the delegation members (full names, citizenship, passport numbers);
- 4. Exact time and location of your entry into and exit out of Kosovo.

Please note that in order to safeguard proper planning and preparation of future visits, the request for political clearance must be received no later than three full working days before the scheduled entry into Kosovo.⁴

3. UNMIK was established pursuant to United Nations Security Council Resolution 1244, which "[d]emands full cooperation by all concerned, including the international security presence,

¹ Motion, paras 1–11.

² Motion, para. 15.

³ Mr. Milutinović's Motion to Join General Ojdanić's Motion for Stay of Proceedings, 6 June 2006 (requesting hearing on Motion); Joinder by Nebojša Pavković in General Ojdanić's Motion for Stay of Proceedings, 6 June 2006 (suggesting hearing on Motion); Joint Defence Motion: Joining General Ojdanić's Motion for Stay of Proceedings, 6 June 2006 (Šainović and Lazarević).

⁴ Motion, Annex G.

5943

with the International Tribunal for the Former Yugoslavia". The Chamber considers that this cooperation includes efforts by UNMIK to endeavour to provide assistance to Defence teams of

accused before the Tribunal in respect of investigations inside of Kosovo for the preparation of

their defences.

4. The Chamber considers that, while, during the Defence team's last visit to Kosovo, UNMIK

was unable to provide the security necessary for the team to conduct and complete its

investigations, this does not mean that UNMIK will continue indefinitely to be unable to do so.

Moreover, UNMIK has contacted the Defence team in order to arrange further visits to Kosovo.

The Chamber would expect UNMIK to take the last visit into account when planning future

security arrangements for the Defence team in order to avoid a repeat of any such incidents and to

ensure that the Defence team is assisted to the greatest extent possible by UNMIK. The Chamber

notes, finally, that it is not faced at present with the situation wherein UNMIK has refused the

Defence team access to Kosovo or represented to it that it is unable or unwilling to provide security

for future visits in order to prepare Ojdanić's defence.

5. In these circumstances, it cannot be said that the Accused will not have a fair trial or that

their rights will not be respected. It will be for the Trial Chamber to ensure that the trial is

conducted in a manner that is fair to the Accused, having regard to all the circumstances of the trial.

Pursuant to Articles 20 and 21 of the Statute of the Tribunal and Rule 54 of the Rules of

Procedure and Evidence, the Trial Chamber hereby DENIES the request to have a hearing on this

matter, DENIES the Motion, and REQUESTS UNMIK to take all reasonable and necessary

measures, as soon as possible, in order to assist the Defence teams of the Accused in their

investigations in Kosovo for the preparation of their defence.

Done in English and French, the English text being authoritative.

hair Borony.

Judge Iain Bonomy

Presiding

Dated this ninth day of June 2006 At The Hague The Netherlands

[Seal of the Tribunal]

⁵ S.C. Res. 1244, U.N. Doc. S/RES/1244 (1999), para. 14. See also Prosecutor v. Haradinaj et al., Case No. IT-04-84-AR65.1, Decision on Ramush Haradinaj's Modified Provisional Release, 10 March 2006, para. 14.