IT-04-74-T D4 - 1/76030 BIS 04 June 2013 4/76030 BIS

UNITED NATIONS

7/6030 BIS e 2013 SF



International Tribunal for the Prosecution of Persons Responsible for Serious Violations of International Humanitarian Law Committed in the Territory of the Former Yugoslavia since 1991

Case No.: IT-04-74-T

Date: 17 May 2013

Original: ENGLISH French

## IN TRIAL CHAMBER III

Before: Judge Jean-Claude Antonetti, presiding

Judge Árpád Prandler Judge Stefan Trechsel

Reserve Judge Antoine Kesia-Mbe Mindua

Registrar: Mr John Hocking

**Order of:** 17 May 2013

THE PROSECUTOR

v.

Jadranko PRLIĆ Bruno STOJIĆ Slobodan PRALJAK Milivoj PETKOVIĆ Valentin ĆORIĆ Berislav PUŠIĆ

### **PUBLIC**

### DECISION ON MFI STATUS OF TWO PROSECUTION EXHIBITS

# **The Office of the Prosecutor:**

M. Douglas Stringer

### **Counsel for the Accused:**

Mr Michael Karnavas and Ms Suzana Tomanović for Jadranko Prlić

Ms Senka Nožica and Mr Karim A. A. Khan for Bruno Stojić

Ms Nika Pinter and Ms Natacha Fauveau-Ivanović for Slobodan Praljak

Ms Vesna Alaburić and Mr Guénaël Mettraux for Milivoj Petković

Ms Dijana Tomašegović-Tomić and Mr Dražen Plavec for Valentin Ćorić

Mr Fahrudin Ibrišimović and Mr Roger Sahota for Berislav Pušić

Case No. IT-04-74-T 17 May 2013

**TRIAL CHAMBER III** ("Chamber") of the International Tribunal for the Prosecution of Persons Responsible for Serious Violations of International Humanitarian Law Committed in the Territory of the Former Yugoslavia since 1991 ("Tribunal"),

### PROPRIO MOTU

**NOTING** the "Order to Admit Evidence Relative to Witness Ismet Poljarević", rendered as a public document by the Chamber on 11 January 2007 ("Decision of 11 January 2007"), in which the Chamber decided to retain the "marked for identification" status of Exhibit P 01958,

**NOTING** the "Decision on the Prosecution Motion for Admission of Evidence (Heliodrom Camp)", rendered as a public document by the Chamber on 5 December 2007 ("Decision of 5 December 2007"), in which the Chamber decided to defer its decision regarding the admission of Exhibit P 01014,

**NOTING** the "Order Concerning Status of Exhibits Marked for Identification", rendered as a public document by the Chamber on 17 January 2008 ("Decision of 17 January 2008"), in which the Chamber decided to retain the "marked for identification" status of Exhibit P 01958,

CONSIDERING that in the Decision of 5 December 2007, the Chamber deemed that Exhibit P 01014 lacked sufficient indicia of reliability to be admitted since it was a document attached to a main document that was not provided to the Chamber; that it had neither a date, nor a signature, a record number or stamp, that the Prosecution did not provide any information which allows for the author of the report to be identified and that, consequently, the Chamber decided to defer a decision on its admission until the Prosecution presented the main document to which Exhibit P 01014 was attached.<sup>1</sup>

**CONSIDERING** that the Prosecution had not presented the main document to which Exhibit P 01014 was attached by the end of its case and, consequently, that the Chamber did not take this document into account during its deliberation,

\_

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Decision of 5 December 2007, p. 5.

2/76030 BIS

**CONSIDERING** that in the Order of 11 January 2007, the Chamber decided to defer its decision to admit Exhibit P 01958 since, if it were established that the statement of Witness Ismet Poljarević, contained in this exhibit, was obtained while this individual

was detained by the HVO and was the result of torture, it would be inadmissible,<sup>2</sup>

**CONSIDERING** that in the Decision of 17 January 2008, the Chamber preserved the "marked for identification" status of Exhibit P 01958 until Judgement deliberations,<sup>3</sup>

**CONSIDERING** that the Chamber could not, during deliberations and in light of all the evidence, determine whether the statement contained in Exhibit P 01958 had been obtained through torture or not and, consequently, decided not to take it into account in the interest of justice.

FOR THE FOREGOING REASONS,

**PURSUANT TO** Rule 89 of the Rules of Procedure and Evidence,

**DENIES** the motion to admit Exhibits P 01014 and P 01958.

Done in English and in French, the French version being authoritative.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Order of 11 January 2007, p. 2.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Decision of 17 January 2008, p. 12.

/signed/	
Jean-Claude Antonetti	
Presiding Judge	

Done this seventeenth day of May 2013 The Hague

The Netherlands

[Seal of the Tribunal]