IT-04-74-T D4 - 1/56923 BIS 10 June 2010 4/56923 BIS

PC

UNITED NATIONS



International Tribunal for the Prosecution of Persons Responsible for Serious Violations of International Humanitarian Law Committed in the Territory of the Former Yugoslavia

since 1991

Case No.:

IT-04-74-T

Date:

3 December 2009

**ENGLISH** 

Original:

French

# IN TRIAL CHAMBER III

Before:

Judge Jean-Claude Antonetti, presiding

Judge Árpád Prandler Judge Stefan Trechsel

Reserve Judge Antoine Kesia-Mbe Mindua

Registrar:

Mr John Hocking

Order of:

3 December 2009

## THE PROSECUTOR

v.

Jadranko PRLIĆ Bruno STOJIĆ Slobodan PRALJAK Milivoj PETKOVIĆ Valentin ĆORIĆ Berislav PUŠIĆ

### **PUBLIC**

# CORRIGENDUM TO ORDER ON ADMISSION OF EVIDENCE REGARDING WITNESS DRAGAN ČURČIĆ (P 09324)

#### The Office of the Prosecutor:

Mr Kenneth Scott Mr Douglas Stringer

## **Counsel for the Accused:**

Mr Michael Karnavas and Ms Suzana Tomanović for Jadranko Prlić

Ms Senka Nožica and Mr Karim A.A. Khan for Bruno Stojić

Mr Božidar Kovačić and Ms Nika Pinter for Slobodan Praljak

Ms Vesna Alaburić and Mr Nicholas Stewart for Milivoj Petković

Ms Dijana Tomašegović-Tomić and Mr Dražen Plavec for Valentin Ćorić

Mr Fahrudin Ibrišimović and Mr Roger Sahota for Berislav Pušić

**TRIAL CHAMBER III** ("Chamber") of the International Tribunal for the Prosecution of Persons Responsible for Serious Violations of International Humanitarian Law Committed in the Territory of the Former Yugoslavia since 1991 ("Tribunal");

**NOTING** the "Order on Admission of Evidence Regarding Witness Dragan Čurčić" rendered publicly by the Chamber on 19 November 2009 ("Order"), in which the Chamber admitted Exhibit P 09324,

**NOTING** the "Notice of Bruno Stojić Regarding Erroneous Admission of Document P 09324" filed publicly on 23 November 2009, in which Counsel for the Stojić Defence ("Stojić Defence") recall that an earlier notice was filed on 27 October 2009<sup>1</sup> for the purposes of withdrawing the request for the admission of Exhibit P 09324,

**CONSIDERING** that the Chamber notes that an error slipped into the first "NOTING",<sup>2</sup> as well as into the first "CONSIDERING"<sup>3</sup> on page 2 of the Order and into its Annex; that by way of the Notice of 27 October 2009, the Stojić Defence withdrew its request for the admission of Exhibit P 09324; that it therefore requested the admission of three, and not four exhibits,

**CONSIDERING** that the Chamber finds that Exhibit P 09324 should not have been analysed and admitted by the Chamber and decides that it is appropriate to correct the first "NOTING" and the first "CONSIDERING" on page 2 of the Order as follows:

"NOTING the request for the admission of [...] 3 exhibits presented by Counsel for the Accused Stojić ("Stojić Defence"), [...]"

"CONSIDERING that the Chamber notes that the "Stojić Defence Objection" is not an objection, but rather a notification from the Stojić Defence with

Case No. IT-04-74-T 2 3 December 2009

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> "Bruno Stojić's Notice to Withdraw Request for Admission of Document P 09324", public, 27 October 2009 ("Notice of 27 October 2009").

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> "NOTING the request for the admission of 3 exhibits presented by Counsel for the Accused Praljak ("Praljak Defence"), <sup>2</sup> the request for the admission of 4 exhibits presented by Counsel for the Accused Stojić ("Stojić Defence"), <sup>2</sup> the request for the admission of 7 exhibits presented by Counsel for the Accused Petković ("Petković Defence") and the request for the admission of 10 exhibits presented by the Office of the Prosecutor ("Prosecution"), <sup>2</sup> all four regarding the testimony of Dragan Čurčić ("Proposed Exhibit(s)") who appeared in court on 12 and 13 October 2009 in accordance with Rule 92 ter of the Rules of Procedure and Evidence ("Rules"),"; See also the Annex to the Order referring to Exhibit P 09324 as admitted by the Chamber.

regard to Exhibit 4 D00618 which is allegedly more specific than Exhibit P 09324,"

**CONSIDERING** that it is also appropriate to withdraw Exhibit P 09324 from the Annex to the Order,

# FOR THE FOREGOING REASONS,

**PURSUANT TO** Rule 54 of the Rules of Procedure and Evidence,

**ORDERS** that the first "NOTING" and the first "CONSIDERING" on page 2 of the Order be worded as follows:

"NOTING the request for the admission of 3 exhibits presented by Counsel for the Accused Praljak ("Praljak Defence"), the request for the admission of 3 exhibits presented by Counsel for the Accused Stojić ("Stojić Defence"), the request for the admission of 7 exhibits presented by Counsel for the Accused Petković ("Petković Defence") and the request for the admission of 10 exhibits presented by the Office of the Prosecutor ("Prosecution"), all four regarding the testimony of Dragan Čurčić ("Proposed Exhibit(s)") who appeared in court on 12 and 13 October 2009 in accordance with Rule 92 ter of the Rules of Procedure and Evidence ("Rules"),"

"CONSIDERING that the Chamber notes that the "Stojić Defence Objection" is not an objection, but rather a notification from the Stojić Defence with regard to Exhibit 4 D00618 which is allegedly more specific than Exhibit P 09324,",

## **AND**

**ORDERS** that Exhibit P 09324 be withdrawn from the Annex to the Order.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> "CONSIDERING that the Chamber notes that the "Stojić Defence Objection" is not an objection, but rather a notification from the Stojić Defence with regard to exhibit 4 D00618 which is allegedly more specific than exhibit P 09324, which was also requested for admission by the Stojić Defence,".

Done in English and in French, the French version being authoritative.

/signed/

Jean-Claude Antonetti

Presiding Judge

Done this third day of December 2009 At The Hague (The Netherlands)

[Seal of the Tribunal]