

International Tribunal for the Prosecution of Persons Responsible for Serious Violations of International Humanitarian Law Committed in the Territory of the former Yugoslavia since 1991

Case No.: IT-95-5/18-PT

Date: 22 June 2009

Original: English

## **IN THE TRIAL CHAMBER**

Before: Judge Iain Bonomy, Pre-Trial Judge

Registrar: Mr. John Hocking

Order of: 22 June 2009

## **PROSECUTOR**

v.

# RADOVAN KARADŽIĆ

### **PUBLIC**

# ORDER REGARDING THE ACCUSED'S MOTION FOR LEAVE TO REPLY AND FOR EXTENSION OF TIME – HOLBROOKE AGREEMENT MOTION

### Office of the Prosecutor

Mr. Alan Tieger

Ms. Hildegard Uertz-Retzlaff

### The Accused

Mr. Radovan Karadžić

I, Iain Bonomy, Judge of the International Tribunal for the Prosecution of Persons Responsible for Serious Violations of International Humanitarian Law Committed in the Territory of the former Yugoslavia since 1991 ("Tribunal"),

**BEING SEISED OF** the Accused's "Motion for Leave to Reply and Extension of Time – Holbrooke Agreement Motion", filed publicly on 19 June 2009 ("Motion");

**NOTING** that, in the Motion, the Accused seeks to address a number of issues which were not directly addressed in his "Holbrooke Agreement Motion" filed 25 May 2009, but which were discussed by the Prosecution in the "Prosecution Response to Holbrooke Agreement Motion", filed 16 June 2009 ("Response to the Holbrooke Agreement Motion");

**NOTING** that issues that have already been addressed by the Accused in the Holbrooke Agreement Motion need not be reiterated in the reply, and that only new issues that arise from the Prosecution's Response to the Holbrooke Agreement Motion should be addressed in the Accused's reply;

**NOTING** that, due to the time sensitivity of the Motion, a response from the Prosecution is not necessary;

**CONSIDERING** that it would be in the interests of good case management to allow the Accused to reply to the Response to the Holbrooke Agreement Motion, but only insofar as the reply addresses new issues arising from that Response;

**CONSIDERING** further that it would be in the interests of good case management to allow the Accused more time to file a reply, but that, given the subjects the Accused wishes to address in his reply, this additional time need not be as extensive as requested;

**PURSUANT TO** Rules 54, 65 ter, and 127 of the Rules,

### **HEREBY:**

a. **GRANT** the Accused leave to reply to the Prosecution Response to the Holbrooke Agreement Motion insofar as only new issues are addressed; and

b. **ORDER** that the Accused's reply be filed by no later than 25 June 2009.

Done in English and French, the English text being authoritative.

Judge Iain Bonomy Pre-Trial Judge

Dated this twenty second day of June 2009 At The Hague The Netherlands

[Seal of the Tribunal]