SEPARATE OPINION OF JUDGES MERON AND POCAR

1. We write separately to say that we think that much of the discussion in paragraphs 48 to 53
of the Judgement concerning the Appeals Chamber's authority to re-consider its judgements and the
circumstances in which such authority should be exercised is unnecessary to resolve the case at
hand. In this case, the Appeals Chamber's earlier judgement affirmed several of Delić's
convictions, and Delić now asks the Appeals Chamber to reconsider those affirmances. He defends
the propriety of reconsideration here on one basis and one basis alone: that there has been an
intervening change in the standard established by the Appeals Chamber for appellate review of
certain factual findings of the Trial Chambers. If there had in fact been an intervening shift in the
governing law, then the Appeals Chamber would have to decide whether that sort of shift was the
kind that warrants reconsideration of an earlier judgement. The Appeals Chamber might also then
have to decide whether its earlier judgement in this case was final or not and whether its final or
non-final character should affect the Appeals Chamber's competence to reconsider the portion of
that earlier judgement now challenged by Delić. But, as the Judgement carefully explains in
paragraphs 54-60, there has in fact been no change in the governing legal standard. Thus, there is
simply no reason for the Appeals Chamber in this case to address the circumstances in which it may
re-consider its judgements. We believe that judicial restraint requires the Appeals Chamber to
address those questions only when, in some future case, it is necessary to do so. In this regard, we
recall what Lord Atkin said in <i>The Cristina</i> [1938] AC 485, at 493:

In the present case I find it unnecessary to decide many of the interesting points raised in the argument for the appellants In matters of such grave importance as those involving questions of international law, it seems to me very expedient that Courts should refrain from expressing opinions which are beside the question actually to be decided.

Judge Fausto Pocar

Done in English and French, the English text being authoritative.	

Dated this 8th day of April 2003, At the Hague, The Netherlands.

Judge Theodor Meron, Presiding

We therefore reserve our position on the issue.