



International Tribunal for the
Prosecution of Persons Responsible for
Serious Violations of International
Humanitarian Law Committed in the
Territory of the Former Yugoslavia
since 1991

Case No.: IT-04-74-T
Date: 5 January 2009
Original: ENGLISH
French

IN TRIAL CHAMBER III

Before: Judge Jean-Claude Antonetti, presiding
Judge Árpád Prandler
Judge Stefan Trechsel
Reserve Judge Antoine Kesia-Mbe Mindua

Acting Registrar: Mr John Hocking

Decision of: 5 January 2009

THE PROSECUTOR

v.

**Jadranko PRLIĆ
Bruno STOJIĆ
Slobodan PRALJAK
Milivoj PETKOVIĆ
Valentin ČORIĆ
Berislav PUŠIĆ**

PUBLIC

**SECOND CORRIGENDUM TO THE ORDER ON MODALITIES OF THE
HEARING OF EXPERT WITNESS MILAN CVIKL**

The Office of the Prosecutor:

Mr Kenneth Scott
Mr Douglas Stringer

Counsel for the Accused:

Mr Michael Karnavas and Ms Suzana Tomanović for Jadranko Prlić
Ms Senka Nožica and Mr Karim A. A. Khan for Bruno Stojić
Mr Božidar Kovačić and Ms Nika Pinter for Slobodan Praljak
Ms Vesna Alaburić and Mr Nicholas Stewart for Milivoj Petković
Ms Dijana Tomašegović-Tomić and Mr Dražen Plavec for Valentin Čorić
Mr Fahrudin Ibrišimović and Mr Roger Sahota for Berislav Pušić

TRIAL CHAMBER III (“Chamber”) of the International Tribunal for the Prosecution of Persons Responsible for Serious Violations of International Humanitarian Law Committed in the Territory of the Former Yugoslavia since 1991 (“Tribunal”),

NOTING the Order on Modalities of the Hearing of Expert Witness Milan Cvikl, rendered publicly by the Chamber on 10 December 2008 (“Order of 10 December 2008”)

NOTING the Corrigendum to the Order on Modalities of the Hearing of Expert Witness Milan Cvikl, rendered publicly by the Chamber on 19 December 2008 (“Corrigendum”),

CONSIDERING that the Corrigendum amended the Order of 10 December 2008, in particular its footnotes 10 and 11 (“Footnotes”),

CONSIDERING that further to the Corrigendum, the Footnotes read as follows:

“¹⁰ Bruno Stojić’s Notice pursuant to Rule 94 *bis* to Cross-Examine Prlić Defence Expert Witness Milan Cvikl, 10 November 2008 (“Stojić Notice”)” and

“¹¹ Prosecution Notice Pursuant to Rule 94 *bis* regarding the Accused Prlić’s Expert Witness Milan Cvikl, 26 November 2008 (“Prosecution Notice”),”

CONSIDERING that the Chamber notes that two errors slipped into the Footnotes,

CONSIDERING that the Footnotes should thus read as follows:

“¹⁰ Bruno Stojić’s Notice pursuant to Rule 94 *bis* (B) to Cross-Examine Prlić Defence Expert Witness Milan Cvikl, 10 November 2008 (“Stojić Notice”)” and

“¹¹ Prosecution Notice Pursuant to Rule 94 *bis* (B) regarding the Accused Prlić’s Expert Witness Milan Cvikl, 26 November 2008 (“Prosecution Notice”),”

FOR THE FOREGOING REASONS,

PURSUANT TO Rule 54 of the Rules of Procedure and Evidence,

ORDERS that footnotes 10 and 11 of the Order of 10 December 2008 be amended as follows:

“¹⁰ Bruno Stojić’s Notice pursuant to Rule 94 *bis* (B) to Cross-Examine Prlić Defence Expert Witness Milan Cvikl, 10 November 2008 (“Stojić Notice”)” and

“¹¹ Prosecution Notice Pursuant to Rule 94 *bis* (B) regarding the Accused Prlić’s Expert Witness Milan Cvikl, 26 November 2008 (“Prosecution Notice”),”

Done in English and in French, the French version being authoritative.

/signed/

Jean-Claude Antonetti
Presiding Judge

Done this fifth day of January 2009
At The Hague
The Netherlands

[Seal of the Tribunal]