



International Tribunal for the Prosecution of  
Persons Responsible for Serious Violations of  
International Humanitarian Law Committed in  
the Territory of the Former Yugoslavia since  
1991

Case No.: IT-03-67-T  
Date: 6 September 2011  
Original: ENGLISH  
French

---

**IN TRIAL CHAMBER III**

**Before:** Judge Jean-Claude Antonetti, Presiding  
Judge Frederik Harhoff  
Judge Flavia Lattanzi

**Registrar:** Mr John Hocking

**Order of:** 6 September 2011

**THE PROSECUTOR**

**v.**

**VOJISLAV ŠEŠELJ**

***PUBLIC DOCUMENT***

---

***CORRIGENDUM TO “DECISION ON PROSECUTION’S REQUEST TO  
OBTAIN A WRITTEN BASIS FOR TWO ORAL DECISIONS HANDED  
DOWN 1 DECEMBER 2010”***

---

**The Office of the Prosecutor**

Mr Mathias Marcussen

**The Accused**

Mr Vojislav Šešelj

**TRIAL CHAMBER III** (“Chamber”) of the International Tribunal for the Prosecution of Persons Responsible for Serious Violations of International Humanitarian Law Committed in the Territory of the Former Yugoslavia since 1991 (“Tribunal”),

**NOTING** the Decision on the Belgrade Judgements rendered by the Chamber as a confidential document on 22 August 2011 (“Decision of 22 August 2011”),<sup>1</sup> and the public redacted version of the Decision of 22 August 2011 filed on 6 September 2011 (“Public Redacted Version of the Decision of 22 August 2011”),<sup>2</sup>

**CONSIDERING** that in paragraph 1 of the Decision of 22 August 2011 and the Public Redacted Version of the Decision of 22 August 2011 the Chamber had erroneously referred to the date of 1 June 2010 in the remark, “two judgements rendered by the War Crimes Chamber of the Belgrade District Court on 1 June 2010”,<sup>3</sup>

**CONSIDERING**, moreover, that in paragraphs 30 and 36 of the Decision of 22 August 2011 and the Public Redacted Version of the Decision of 22 August 2011 the Chamber had erroneously referred to Rule 94 (A) of the Rules instead of Rule 89 (C) of the Rules of Procedure and Evidence (“Rules”),<sup>4</sup>

**CONSIDERING**, finally, that in footnotes 56, 57 and 62 of the Decision of 22 August 2011 and of the Public Redacted Version of the Decision of 22 August 2011, the Chamber had erroneously referred to the “[h]earing of 30 March 2011” instead of the “[h]earing of 30 March 2010”,

---

<sup>1</sup> “Decision on Prosecution’s Request to Obtain a Written Basis for Two Oral Decisions Handed Down 1 December 2010”, confidential, 22 August 2011. The two judgements in question were rendered by the War Crimes Chamber of the Belgrade District Court: (1) Belgrade District Court, War Crimes Chamber, Case No. K.V. 4/2006, “Judgment Against Co-Accused Miroљjub Vujović, Stanko Vujanović, Predrag Milojević, Ђorđe Šošić, Miroslav Ђanković, Saša Radak, Milan Vojnović, Jovica Perić, Milan Lančuzanin, Predrag Dragović, Ivan Atanasijević, Nada Kalaba and Goran Mugoša”, 12 March 2009; (2) Belgrade District Court, War Crimes Chamber, Case No. K.V. 9/2008, “Judgment Against Damir Sireta”, 23 June 2009.

<sup>2</sup> “Public redacted version of the ‘Decision on Prosecution’s Request to Obtain a Written Basis for Two Oral Decisions Handed Down 1 December 2010’”, public, 6 September 2011.

<sup>3</sup> Decision of 22 August 2011, para. 1; Public Redacted Version of the Decision of 22 August 2011, para. 1.

<sup>4</sup> Decision of 22 August 2011, paras 30 and 36; Public Redacted Version of the Decision of 22 August 2011, paras 30 and 36.

**FOR THE FOREGOING REASONS*****PROPRIO MOTU***

**PURSUANT TO** Rule 54 of the Rules,

**ORDERS** that in paragraph 1 of the Decision of 22 August 2011 and the Public Redacted Version of the Decision of 22 August 2011, the words “two judgements rendered by the War Crimes Chamber of the Belgrade District Court on 1 June 2010” be corrected and amended to read “two judgements rendered by the War Crimes Chamber of the Belgrade District Court”,

**ORDERS** that in paragraph 30 of the Decision of 22 August 2011 and the Public Redacted Version of the Decision of 22 August 2011, the words “admitting them into evidence on the basis of Rule 94 (A) of the Rules”, be corrected and amended to read “admitting them into evidence on the basis of Rule 89 (C) of the Rules”,

**ORDERS** that in paragraph 36 of the Decision of 22 August 2011 and the Public Redacted Version of the Decision of 22 August 2011, the words “admitting them into evidence on the basis of Rule 94 (A) of the Rules”, be corrected and amended to read “admitting them into evidence on the basis of Rule 89 (C) of the Rules”,

**ORDERS** that the words “[h]earing of 30 March 2011” in footnotes 56, 57 and 62 of the Decision of 22 August 2011 and the Public Redacted Version of the Decision of 22 August 2011 be corrected and amended to read the words “[h]earing of 30 March 2010”.

Done in English and in French, the French version being authoritative.

                    
/signed/  
Jean-Claude Antonetti  
Presiding Judge

Done this sixth day of September 2011  
At The Hague  
The Netherlands

**[Seal of the Tribunal]**